



# Employment Court of New Zealand

You are here: [NZLII](#) >> [Databases](#) >> [Employment Court of New Zealand](#) >> [2020](#) >> [\[2020\] NZEmpC 23](#)

[Database Search](#) | [Name Search](#) | [Recent Decisions](#) | [Noteup](#) | [LawCite](#) | [Download](#) | [Help](#)

## Rudling v Bridgestone New Zealand Limited [2020] NZEmpC 23 (9 March 2020)

Last Updated: 15 March 2020

IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND AUCKLAND

I TE KŌTI TAKE MAHI O AOTEAROA TĀMAKI MAKĀURAU

[\[2020\] NZEmpC 23](#)

EMPC 215/2019

IN THE MATTER OF a challenge to a determination of  
the Employment Relations  
Authority  
BETWEEN WILLIAM TAYLOR RUDLING  
Plaintiff  
AND BRIDGESTONE NEW ZEALAND  
LIMITED  
Defendant

Hearing: On the papers  
Appearances: AF Drake and Dylan Pine, counsel for  
plaintiff J Latimer, counsel for defendant  
Judgment: 9 March 2020

### CONSENT JUDGMENT OF CHIEF JUDGE CHRISTINA INGLIS

[1] The plaintiff's challenge to a determination of the Employment Relations Authority was set down for a three-day hearing in Auckland commencing Monday 9 March 2020.<sup>1</sup>

[2] Counsel have advised the Court that the parties have reached a settlement of their dispute, the terms of which are contained in a settlement agreement signed pursuant to [s 149](#) of the [Employment Relations Act 2000](#).

[3] The following orders are made by consent:

1 *Rudling v Bridgestone New Zealand Ltd* [\[2019\] NZERA 343](#).

WILLIAM TAYLOR RUDLING v BRIDGESTONE NEW ZEALAND LIMITED [\[2020\] NZEmpC 23](#) [9 March 2020]

- (a) The Employment Relations Authority's determination is set aside.
- (b) The hearing scheduled for 9 March 2020 is vacated.
- (c) The parties are to bear their own costs, including for the Employment Relations Authority matter.

Christina Inglis Chief Judge

Judgment signed at 2.30 pm on 9 March 2020