



# New Zealand Employment Relations Authority Decisions

You are here: [NZLII](#) >> [Databases](#) >> [New Zealand Employment Relations Authority Decisions](#) >> [2017](#) >> [2017] NZERA 167

[Database Search](#) | [Name Search](#) | [Recent Decisions](#) | [Noteup](#) | [LawCite](#) | [Download](#) | [Help](#)

---

## Jhorad v Cafe Ephesus Limited (Auckland) [2017] NZERA 167; [2017] NZERA Auckland 167 (9 June 2017)

Last Updated: 14 June 2017

IN THE EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS AUTHORITY AUCKLAND

[2017] NZERA Auckland 167  
5641892

BETWEEN AVINASH JHORAD Applicant

A N D CAFÉ EPHEBUS LIMITED Respondent

Member of Authority: T G Tetitaha

Representatives: K Usmar, Advocate for Applicant

N Gunaydin/M Bell, Representatives for Respondent

Investigation Meeting: On the papers

Submissions Received: 28 March 2017 from Applicant only

Date of Determination: 9 June 2017

### COSTS DETERMINATION OF

#### THE EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS AUTHORITY

**A. Café Ephesus Limited is directed to pay \$4,500 to Avinash Jhorad as a contribution towards his legal costs.**

#### **Employment relationship problem**

[1] The Authority in its substantive determination dated 27 February 2017<sup>1</sup> found the applicant had been unjustifiably dismissed and owed wage arrears. The respondent was ordered to pay \$2,500 compensation and wage arrears totalling \$13,385.73.

[2] The applicant now applies for costs. His actual costs were \$9,276.76.

#### **What is the starting point for assessing costs?**

[3] The Authority adopts a notional daily tariff based approach to costs.<sup>2</sup> The current notional daily tariff is \$4,500.<sup>3</sup> This matter involved a one day investigation meeting. The starting point for assessing costs is therefore \$4,500.

#### **Are there any factors that warrant adjusting the notional daily tariff?**

[4] The applicant seeks increased costs to reflect:

a) Non-responsiveness of respondent to communications requiring a direction to mediation.

b) Non-compliant wage/time records requiring substantial time by the applicant's

representative to organise for hearing.

[5] Costs for preparation for mediation including requests for directions do not necessarily attract a higher costs award. This file would have been referred or directed to mediation if it had not occurred before hearing. \$3,500 of the invoice pertains to mediation costs. No increased award for this conduct is required.

[6] Preparation of evidence is encompassed within the notional tariff. This does not justify any increased award.

[7] There is nothing justifying any reduction in costs.

[8] Café Ephesus Limited is directed to pay \$4,500 to Avinash Jhorad as a contribution towards his legal costs.

**TG Tetitaha**

**Member of the Employment Relations Authority**

---

NZLII: [Copyright Policy](#) | [Disclaimers](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Feedback](#)

URL: <http://www.nzlii.org/nz/cases/NZERA/2017/167.html>