



Employment Court of New Zealand

You are here: [NZLII](#) >> [Databases](#) >> [Employment Court of New Zealand](#) >> [2020](#) >> [\[2020\] NZEmpC 188](#)

[Database Search](#) | [Name Search](#) | [Recent Decisions](#) | [Noteup](#) | [LawCite](#) | [Download](#) | [Help](#)

Coetzee v Oamaru Meats Limited [2020] NZEmpC 188 (10 November 2020)

Last Updated: 13 November 2020

IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND AUCKLAND

I TE KŌTI TAKE MAHI O AOTEAROA TĀMAKI MAKĀURAU

[\[2020\] NZEmpC 188](#)

EMPC 194/2019

IN THE MATTER OF	a challenge to a determination of the Employment Relations Authority
AND IN THE MATTER OF	an application for costs
BETWEEN	SHALINI LIMITED Plaintiff
AND	A LABOUR INSPECTOR Defendant

Hearing: On the papers
Appearances: M Keall, counsel for plaintiff
M Denyer, counsel for defendant
Judgment: 10 November 2020

COSTS JUDGMENT OF JUDGE M E PERKINS

[1] These proceedings were the subject of a judgment dated 24 June 2020 in which the plaintiff's challenge against a determination of the Employment Relations Authority was dismissed.¹

[2] The judgment directed that the defendant was entitled to costs. Costs were reserved to enable the parties to endeavour to reach agreement on the quantum of costs. Such agreement has now been reached and counsel have filed a memorandum regarding costs in which consent to a judgment is indicated.

1 *Shalini Ltd v A Labour Inspector* [\[2020\] NZEmpC 89](#).

SHALINI LIMITED v A LABOUR INSPECTOR [\[2020\] NZEmpC 188](#) [10 November 2020]

[3] Accordingly, by consent, the plaintiff is ordered to pay costs to the defendant in the sum of \$15,493.50.

ME Perkins Judge

Judgment signed at 11.45 am on 10 November 2020