



Employment Court of New Zealand

You are here: [NZLII](#) >> [Databases](#) >> [Employment Court of New Zealand](#) >> [2014](#) >> [2014] NZEmpC 162

[Database Search](#) | [Name Search](#) | [Recent Decisions](#) | [Noteup](#) | [LawCite](#) | [Download](#) | [Help](#)

Atwal v Raumaewa [2014] NZEmpC 162 (5 September 2014)

Last Updated: 15 September 2014

IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT CHRISTCHURCH

[\[2014\] NZEmpC 162](#)

CRC 4/14

IN THE MATTER OF a challenge to a determination of
the
Employment Relations Authority

BETWEEN BILLY ATWAL Plaintiff

AND VICKI RAUMAWEA Defendant

Hearing: (on the papers dated 29 August
2014)

Appearances: L Vincent, counsel for the plaintiff
R Blacke, advocate for the
defendant

Judgment: 5 September 2014

CONSENT JUDGMENT OF JUDGE B A CORKILL

[1] The parties have successfully resolved the issues between them, and have requested that the resulting settlement be the subject of a consent judgment of the Court.

[2] The party's agreement resolves the plaintiff's challenge and constitutes a full and final settlement of all matters between them arising out of their employment relationship. The other terms of the settlement are clearly set out in the agreement and do not need to be recorded in this judgment.

[3] By operation of [s 183\(2\)](#) of the [Employment Relations Act 2000](#) this judgment now stands in the place of the Employment Relations Authority

determination dated 20 December 2013.¹

¹ *Raumaewa v Atwal (aka Singh)* [2013] NZERA Christchurch 262.

BILLY ATWAL v VICKI RAUMAWEA NZEmpC CHRISTCHURCH [\[2014\] NZEmpC 162](#) [5 September 2014]

[4] There are no issues as to costs.

B A Corkill

Judge

Judgment signed at 11.15 am on 5 September 2014
