



# Employment Court of New Zealand

You are here: [NZLII](#) >> [Databases](#) >> [Employment Court of New Zealand](#) >> [2018](#) >> [\[2018\] NZEmpC 38](#)

[Database Search](#) | [Name Search](#) | [Recent Decisions](#) | [Noteup](#) | [LawCite](#) | [Download](#) | [Help](#)

---

## Alo v Emerge Aotearoa Limited [2018] NZEmpC 38 (2 May 2018)

Last Updated: 9 May 2018

IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT  
WELLINGTON

[\[2018\] NZEmpC 38](#)  
EMPC 377/2017

IN THE MATTER OF a challenge to a determination of  
the Employment Relations  
Authority  
BETWEEN POLLYANNA ALO HUIA  
BROUGHTON ANGELA  
RUBADUKA NGAIRE SHORTLAND  
First Plaintiffs  
AND EMERGE AOTEAROA LIMITED  
First Defendant  
  
AND BETWEEN FEMKE DE FEIJTER  
Second Plaintiff  
AND HEALTHCARE OF NEW ZEALAND  
LIMITED  
Second Defendant  
  
AND BETWEEN SHENNAH JARDINE  
WENDY MURPHY  
Third Plaintiffs  
AND MASH TRUST  
Third Defendant  
  
AND BETWEEN JULIE WELLINGTON JACLYN  
WIREMU  
Fourth Plaintiffs  
AND ODYSSEY HOUSE TRUST  
Fourth Defendant

POLLYANNA ALO v EMERGE AOTEAROA LIMITED NZEmpC WELLINGTON [\[2018\] NZEmpC 38](#) [2 May 2018]

AND BETWEEN KAREN BROUGH  
VERITY BROWN TARN EVANS  
Fifth Plaintiffs  
AND PATHWAYS HEALTH LIMITED  
Fifth Defendant  
  
AND BETWEEN DONNA-MARIE DAVIES  
Sixth Plaintiff  
AND PUKEKO BLUE LIMITED  
Sixth Defendant  
  
AND BETWEEN KIM BALDWIN  
Seventh Plaintiff

AND

WALSH TRUST  
Seventh Defendant

Hearing: On the papers filed on 27 and 30 April, and 1 and 2 May 2018

Representation: P Cranney, counsel for plaintiffs  
P Shaw, counsel for first defendant  
M Richards, counsel for second defendant A Hall,  
counsel for third defendant  
B Smith, counsel for fourth defendant  
A Twaddle, counsel for fifth and seventh defendants T  
McGinn, counsel for sixth defendant

Order: 2 May 2018

**ORDER OF CHIEF JUDGE CHRISTINA INGLIS VACATING FIXTURE AND ADJOURNING PROCEEDINGS SINE DIE**

[1] The plaintiffs have filed a memorandum updating the Court on negotiations relating to the matters at issue in these proceedings. As a result of those negotiations the hearing set down for 18 and 19 June 2018 is not now required. The plaintiffs seek an order vacating the hearing dates and adjourning the proceedings to allow time for the necessary steps to be taken to finally resolve matters.

[2] Memoranda have now been filed on behalf of each of the defendants, advising the Court that they support and/or do not oppose such a course. It does not appear that any issue of costs arises.

[3] In the circumstances it is appropriate that the hearing be vacated and the proceeding adjourned on a sine die basis.

[4] Leave is reserved for any party to apply, on reasonable notice, for any further directions or orders.

Christina Inglis Chief Judge

Order signed at 4.45 pm on 2 May 2018